OPINION

The Historical Architecture of Intellectual Dominance: From Colonial Extraction to Knowledge Hegemony and the Vision of Atmanirbhar Bharat

Sudip Bhattacharya, Amarjeet Singh

Associate Professor, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical sciences, Deoghar, Jharkhand, India

Ex-HOD, Department of Community Medicine & School of Public Health, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh, India

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Sudip Bhattacharya, Associate Professor, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical sciences, Deoghar, Jharkhand, India

Email: drsudip81@gmail.com

CITATION

Bhattacharya S, Singh A. The Historical Architecture of Intellectual Dominance: From Colonial Extraction to Knowledge Hegemony. Journal of the Epidemiology Foundation of India. 2026;4(1):134-137. DOI: https://doi.org/10.56450/JEFI.2026.v4i01.018

ARTICLE CYCLE

Received: 03/03/2026; Accepted: 18/03/2026; Published: 31/03/2026

BACKGROUND

The contemporary global landscape is defined by a rigid, often invisible hierarchy of intellectual labor that traces its lineage back to the era of physical colonialism. Historically, the dominance of developed nations over Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) was established through the extraction of raw materials and the exploitation of physical labor.(1) In the modern era, this has evolved into a sophisticated form of "intellectual hegemonism." (2)Developed nations have strategically positioned themselves as the "think tanks" of the world, monopolizing the high-value, creative phases of innovation while relegating LMICs to the status of executors and assemblers. This systemic division of labor is not merely an economic byproduct but a deliberate structural design that maintains the Global North's technological and scientific superiority.(3) By controlling the intellectual "source code" of industries, developed nations ensure that LMICs remain in a state of perpetual dependency, performing the repetitive, middle-class tasks that sustain the global economy without ever owning the means of innovation.(4)

The Industrial Paradigm: Invention versus Assembly in the Global Value Chain

The disparity in intellectual labor is most visible in the automotive and telecommunications sectors, where a clear line is drawn between conceptualization and execution.(5) In the motor car industry, the "invention part" the high-level engineering of internal combustion engines, the chemistry of solid-state batteries, and the development of autonomous driving software is almost exclusively conducted in the R&D centers of North America, Europe, and Japan. Once the intellectual heavy lifting is complete, the "assembly part" is offshored to LMICs.(6) This transition is driven by the desire to exploit cheap labor costs and favorable regulatory environments. However, the true cost to the LMIC is the suppression of domestic industrial creativity. The local workforce becomes exceptionally skilled at following blueprints designed elsewhere, but the opportunity to design those blueprints from scratch is systemically denied. This creates a

workforce of "middle-class executors" who are vital to the production process but invisible in the patent registry.

Telecommunications and the Digital Divide of Innovation

A parallel phenomenon occurs within the telecommunications and technology sectors. The foundational architecture of the digital world the design of semiconductors, the formulation of encryption protocols, and the development of 5G and 6G standards remains concentrated in a few technocratic hubs in the Global North.(7) LMICs, despite their massive consumer markets, are often utilized as the world's back-office or manufacturing hub. This "outsourcing of execution" ensures that while an LMIC might host the largest smartphone assembly plant in the world, it does not own the fundamental intellectual property (IP) within those devices. This creates a digital glass ceiling; the talented youth in these countries are channelled into software maintenance, hardware testing, and repetitive coding tasks. This systemic funnelling of human capital away from "frontier innovation" toward "system maintenance" ensures that the Global North maintains its lead in the next industrial revolution while the Global South remains focused on catching up to the current one.(7)

The Research Synthesis Trap: A New Form of Academic Colonialism

In the critical field of health and scientific research, the hierarchy of dominance has manifested as the "synthesis paradox." Major global funding agencies and philanthropic organizations frequently allocate the lion's share of resources toward the invention of new drug molecules, novel surgical techniques, and advanced biotechnologies within developed nations.(8) Conversely, researchers in LMICs are increasingly steered toward "evidence synthesis" the systematic review and meta-analysis of research already conducted in the West. While synthesis is a necessary academic exercise for policy-making, it is inherently derivative. It places LMIC scientists in a position where they are perpetually looking backward at what has been done, rather than forward at what could be.(9) This "brainwashing" of the scientific elite in LMICs to focus on synthesis over original inquiry creates a feedback loop where the Global North discovers and the Global South summarizes, further entrenching the intellectual gap.

The Crisis of Original Research and the Neglect of Clinical Trials

The focus on evidence synthesis in LMICs is particularly tragic given the immense potential for original research in these regions. Populous countries like India possess an unparalleled volume of patient data and a diverse demographic profile that is ideal for large-scale clinical trials and basic science research. However, due to a lack of domestic funding and a global incentive structure that rewards "safe" synthesis over "high-risk" original inquiry, this potential is largely ignored. Original research the kind that leads to the discovery of new therapeutic targets or unique medical interventions is getting neglected. Instead of leading global health research, LMIC scientists are often relegated to being "data collectors" for trials designed in the West, where the primary intellectual credit and the resulting patents remain with investigators in developed countries. This lack of translational focus means that even when LMIC data is used, the community often sees little direct benefit from the innovations derived from their own information.(9)

Potential of trials in LMIC

Primary research in North India illustrates that discovery creates translational impact unattainable through synthesis alone. Clinical trials on pelvic floor training for urinary incontinence led to the establishment of dedicated behavioral therapy rooms in gynecology departments.(10) Similarly, structured exercise interventions for dysmenorrhea reduced absenteeism among schoolgirls, while behavioral programs for uterine prolapse adapted international protocols to resource-constrained settings.(11) Furthermore, video-based rehabilitation for post-mastectomy care addressed high-volume barriers to physiotherapy.(12) These cases confirm that LMICs are fertile grounds for pragmatic innovation; original research is the essential catalyst that transforms global

evidence into localized, functional service redesign.

Youth, Innovation, and the Stifling of the Next Generation

Youth is a period defined by cognitive flexibility, high energy, and the courage to challenge established paradigms the very ingredients required for breakthrough innovation. However, the "opportunity cost" of the current global intellectual structure is the systematic stifling of this potential in the Global South. When the most brilliant young minds in an LMIC are forced into repetitive jobs or derivative research because that is where the funding and "prestige" lie, the world loses out on potential Nobel-winning breakthroughs. The incentive structures in LMICs often favour stability and conformity over the disruptive nature of original research. This prevents the emergence of a domestic scientific ecosystem that can support a "brilliant scientist" from the conceptual stage to the global stage. Instead of being the architects of new knowledge, the youth are groomed to be the high-level custodians of existing knowledge.

The Nobel Prize Void: The Case of Medicine and Physiology in India

The starkest evidence of this intellectual imbalance is the glaring absence of Nobel Prizes in Medicine or Physiology awarded to researchers working within populous LMICs like India. Despite India's massive healthcare infrastructure and its role as the "pharmacy of the world," there has been a profound lack of homegrown breakthroughs that reach the pinnacle of global recognition. This void is not a reflection of a lack of talent or intellect; rather, it is a symptom of a system that does not prioritize or fund original, fundamental discovery. While Indian-origin scientists frequently win global accolades while working in Western laboratories, the domestic environment remains focused on the "assembly" and "synthesis" of knowledge. The huge amount of patient data available in the country is a goldmine for original research, yet without a shift toward domestic "invention" and high-stakes inquiry, this data remains an underutilized resource that is often harvested by others.(13,14)

Introspection and the Shift Toward Translational Value

It is now high time for a radical introspection regarding the direction of global research and development. The world must move away from a model where the "who, where, and what" of research is determined by the legacy of colonial power structures. The focus must shift toward "translational value" ensuring that research is not just an academic exercise but a tool for community transformation. For LMICs, this means breaking the "synthesis trap" and demanding a seat at the table of original discovery. Governments and domestic funding bodies in the Global South must prioritize the creation of "Innovation Hubs" that reward original clinical trials and basic science. We must ask: who is doing the research, for whom is it being done, and where will the intellectual property reside?(9)

Reclaiming the Intellectual Frontier-*Atmanirbhar Bharat*

To achieve true sovereignty, LMICs must transition from being the "back-office of the world" to becoming its "laboratory." This requires decoupling the LMIC identity from cheap labour and repetitive tasks. It demands sustained investment in the "invention phase" across sectors, from automotive engineering to drug discovery. In the Indian context, this vision aligns closely with the national aspiration of *Viksit Bharat 2047*, articulated by Prime Minister Narendra Modi, which emphasises innovation-led growth, self-reliance (*Atmanirbhar Bharat*), and global leadership in science and technology.(15)

The global community must recognise that the current model of "knowledge extraction" is both unsustainable and ethically flawed. Empowering the youth of the Global South to engage in original, high-risk research is central to correcting this imbalance. India's push towards becoming a knowledge economy through investments in digital infrastructure, start-up ecosystems, and indigenous research reflects a strategic shift from participation to leadership.

The goal is no longer limited to integration into the global economy. It is to lead it by creating future technologies and treatments. This vision resonates with *Viksit Bharat's* emphasis on

transforming India into a hub of innovation, manufacturing, and scientific excellence by 2047. Only by shifting the focus from synthesis to discovery can LMICs nurture the next generation of globally recognised scientists and bridge the gap created by centuries of structural dominance.

Authors Contribution

Conceptualization, drafting, and final preparation-SB, Editing-AS

Declaration Of Generative AI And AI Assisted Technologies In The Writing Process

The authors declare that ChatGPT (OpenAI) was used solely for language editing, grammar correction, and improvement of readability in this manuscript. The authors take full responsibility for the originality, accuracy, integrity, and scientific content of the work, including all analyses, interpretations, and conclusions presented herein.

References

1. Hussain M, Sadigh M, Sadigh M, Rastegar A, Sewankambo N. Colonization and decolonization of global health: which way forward? Glob Health Action. 2023;16(1):2186575. doi:10.1080/16549716.2023.2186575.
2. Adrahtas V. Intellectual hegemony, conversion discourse and early Christian apologetic literature. Religions. 2021;12(9):782. doi:10.3390/rel12090782.
3. Tacheva J, Ramasubramanian S. AI empire: unraveling the interlocking systems of oppression in generative AI's global order [Internet]. Big Data Soc. 2023 [cited 2026 Apr 3]. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/20539517231219241
4. World Bank. World Development Report 2024: The middle-income trap [Internet]. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2024 [cited 2026 Apr 3]. Available from: https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/wdr2024
5. Strategy&. Talent gap in automotive [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2026 Apr 3]. Available from: https://www.strategyand.pwc.com/de/en/industries/automotive/talent-gap-2023.html
6. Maisch M. Japan keeps lead in solid-state battery development. pv magazine India [Internet]. 2025 Jan 15 [cited 2026 Apr 3]. Available from: https://www.pv-magazine-india.com/2025/01/15/japan-keeps-lead-in-solid-state-battery-development/
7. Observer Research Foundation. The push for 6G: premature or prescient? [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2026 Apr 3]. Available from: https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/the-push-for-6g-premature-or-prescient
8. Wolpe PR, Sahl M, Howard JR. Bioethical issues in medicinal chemistry and drug treatment. In: Comprehensive Medicinal Chemistry II. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2007. p. 681–708. doi:10.1016/B0-08-045044-X/00025-0.
9. Panigrahi SK, Bhattacharya S. Beyond the pyramid: reconsidering evidence synthesis as distinct from original research. Indian J Med Res. 2026;163(1):4–7. doi:10.25259/IJMR_2271_2025.
10. Kumari S, Jain V, Mandal AK, Singh A. Behavioral therapy for urinary incontinence in India. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2008;103(2):125–128.
11. Chaudhuri A, Singh A, Dhaliwal L. A randomised controlled trial of exercise and hot water bottle in the management of dysmenorrhoea in school girls of Chandigarh, India. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol. 2013;57(2):114–122.
12. Kaur S, Singh G, Singh A, Sharma P. Care of breast cancer patients after surgery: a guidebook for women with special emphasis on prevention of shoulder dysfunction and arm lymphedema. Chandigarh: PGIMER; 2018.
13. Kumar R. Nobel prize in physiology or medicine by the Indian medical education system: how far and how close? J Fam Med Prim Care. 2022;11(11):7070–7071. doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_2323_22.
14. Panigrahi SK, Bhattacharya S, Jahnavi G. In response to Nobel prize in physiology or medicine by the Indian medical education system: how far and how close? J Fam Med Prim Care. 2023;12(6):1239–1240.
15. Alam A, Khan A, Ghosal N, Satpati L. A review of resource management and self-reliance for sustainable development of India under COVID-19 scenario. J Public Aff. 2021;21(4):e2725. doi:10.1002/pa.2725.