ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Development of a health promotion intervention in managing behavioral risk factors for Non-Communicable Diseases in adolescents: An Intervention Mapping Approach

Tejaswini. B. Darukaradhya, Krishnamurthy Jayanna

Division of Public Health, Department of Allied Health Sciences, Faculty of Life and Allied Health Sciences, M.S. Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India 560054

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Tejaswini. B. Darukaradhya, Division of Public Health, Department of Allied Health Sciences, Faculty of Life and Allied Health Sciences, M.S. Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India 560054

Email: drtejaswini02@gmail.com

CITATION

Darukaradhya TB, Jayanna K. Development of a health promotion intervention in managing behavioral risk factors for Non-Communicable Diseases in adolescents: An Intervention Mapping Approac. Journal of the Epidemiology Foundation of India. 2024;2(2):56-65.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56450/JEFI.2024.v2i02.006

ARTICLE CYCLE

Received: 30/03/2024; Accepted: 26/05/2024; Published: 30/06/2024 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. ©The Author(s). 2024 Open Access

Abstract

Introduction: In promoting positive behavior changes in adolescents, behavior change intervention is a crucial factor that requires attention. The aim is to develop an evidence-based social cognitive theory intervention. This paper details the intervention development, which is part of the broader NCD prevention program, targeting adolescents and motivating them to adopt healthy lifestyles. **Methods**: Intervention mapping is a systematic approach to developing health promotion programs and has six steps. (1) To assess the need, (2) to specify objectives and outcomes, (3) to design programs, (4) to develop intervention, (5) to plan an intervention implementation, and (6) to evaluate. Stakeholders were engaged actively to improve program feasibility. The needs assessment identified barriers to healthy lifestyle practices among adolescents. **Results**: Identified barriers among school management and teachers were lack of awareness, noncompliance to regular dietary practices and physical activities, time constraints, lack of motivation, space for physical activities, and guidance for healthy food choices. Interventions components were designed based on the social cognitive theory to address these barriers, involving role models and peer leaders, and providing tailored health information and activities. **Conclusion**: The intervention aims to motivate adolescents to adopt healthy lifestyles and promote peer support.

Keywords

Intervention Mapping, Behavioural Intervention, Social Cognitive Theory, Self-Efficacy, Lifestyle, Behaviour Risk Factors, Adolescents

INTRODUCTION

Lifestyle behaviours, influenced by societal, economic, and cultural factors, are crucial for long-term health(1,2). These behaviours, such

as unhealthy diet(3,4), tobacco use, and physical inactivity, start young and can lead to Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) in adulthood if not addressed(5,8). NCDs, including heart disease, chronic respiratory diseases, diabetes, and cancer, are the leading causes of global mortality and disability(5,9). They are increasing in adolescents and young adults(10,11), and early interventions are needed to reduce future NCD risks(5,13–16). However, comprehensive interventions for adolescents are lacking(8,10,17).

Behavior change intervention is essential to foster positive behaviours in adolescents and prevent NCDs(16,24-27). It involves planning early interventions(15,28,29), setting goals, selecting methods, and ensuring implementation, oriented toward intervention fidelity (30). A framework is needed for effective program development, considering behavioral, personal, and environmental factors(3). Theory-based interventions have proven effective(31–37), but systematic planning is required, using an intervention mapping (IM) approach. IM is a planning framework for intervention development, implementation, and evaluation(40). This approach aligns with Universal Health Coverage (UHC) and Primary Health Care (PHC). It has six steps which are discussed in method section to develop a comprehensive theory-based health promotion intervention

Aim:

develop an evidence-based social cognitive theory intervention targeting adolescents.

MATERIAL & METHODS

The intervention is part of a broad schoolbased program for adolescents. The details of design, development and application of intervention are described in subsequent sections. The intervention mapping protocol is developed using a six-step process.

Step 1: Study Type and Study Design: The initial step involves conducting Qualitative research, needs assessment, and literature review.

Step 1.1: Planning group/program stakeholder setup.

Before initiation of the development of an intervention, a planning group was established to optimize the intervention implementation which will continue till the end of the

intervention phase. The planning group was led by a PhD scholar and included a wide range of professionals: program developer (NCD and implementation research expert), adolescent psychiatrist, teachers, and principals. Frequent meetings were conducted with the group members to brainstorm potential strategies, evaluate the possible barriers and facilitators in achieving the program's objective, and monitor development progression; and include findings from the existing literature review.

Study Setting: Educational institutions (schools) of urban and rural areas were contacted to conduct the focus group discussions (FGDs), and in-depth interviews (IDIs).

Step 1.2: Conduct needs assessment represented using Logic model of the problem. This identifies the health problem (Noncommunicable diseases risk behaviours among adolescents) and assesses behaviours and environmental conditions related to the context.

Study population and Data Collection: Based on purposive sampling, we conducted FGDs, and IDIs till data saturation with adolescents and schoolteachers separately to explore the current situation, needs, and preferences of the target group. Totally four FGDs were conducted with five adolescents in each group and three FGDs with five schoolteachers in each group, which lasted for <60 minutes. Then face-to-face, semi-structured interviews lasting 20– 30 minutes were conducted among adolescents (n=10) and teachers (n=6). The interviewer was guided and trained prior to the interview.

Inclusion Criteria: Adolescents aged 13- 15 years and teachers aged 30- 50 years from schools were included.

Exclusion Criteria: Those participants who did not consent and were not present on the day of the interviews were excluded.

Sampling method: Based on convenience sampling, school adolescents were selected and interviewed. They provided their opinions about self-monitoring on the risk factors. The interviews were analysed and codes were identified and grouped. **Ethical Issues & Informed Consent**: Prior to the start of the interview, ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Board of Medical College (MSRMC/EC/AP-02/11-2022). Accent from adolescents and consent from parents and teachers were obtained prior to the start of the interview.

Step 2: Specify Program Outcomes and Objectives using Logic Model of Change.

After specifying the goal of intervention, we established specific objectives at the behavioural level, based on the logic model of change. These were termed as performance objectives (PO). Subsequently, for each PO, behavioural determinants derived from the SCT were selected through literature in coordination with the planning group. The planning group used literature, interviews, and expert advice to guide the selection of determinants. These determinants, along with PO, were used to create measurable and action-oriented matrices of change objectives (COs), which identifies the intervention targets.

Step 3: Design Program: SCT was used as a theoretical framework with major constructs outcome expectations, reciprocal determinism, self-esteem, self-efficacy, social support, and self-regulation. Results from step 1 (needs assessment) and step 2 (matrix of change) are used to select methods and practical strategies to influence the determinants of the target behaviours.

Step 3.1: Identification of the determinants to formulate CO. The selection of methods was from literature and the advice of the planning group by considering reference population, feasibility, and changeability of determinants. Experts in behaviour change contributed their insights and experiences about effective strategies for promoting behaviour change.

Step 3.2: Selection of the appropriate theorybased methods to influence the determinants and achieve the CO. Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) was selected to achieve the CO.

Step 3.3: Translate the methods into practical applications. The planning experts converted each method into practical application appropriate to the intervention context and the adolescent group.

Step 4: Intervention development.

The planning experts developed key components by combining with practical applications, which included various display information platforms, a role model group, and peer support group. These platforms are used to disseminate health education materials, and visual presentations will be provided for teachers. This development process includes assessing whether the intervention's content and materials are feasible considering time and budget constraints, and whether they will effectively reach the adolescent group.

Step 5: Plan Program Implementation:

A smooth implementation plan is needed to modify behavioural risk factors of NCDs in adolescents through teachers.

Step 5.1: Identification of barriers from stakeholders:

Outcomes of the interaction with implementers of the intervention (research staff of public health division, dean, and school heads) revealed that barriers in implementing intervention.

Step 5.2: Establishment of collaborative communication:

collaborative communication А was established between the planning and implementing group, school heads, and teachers to facilitate information exchange. Since the prime goal is to prevent NCDs in the adulthood, reliable information through interventions is needed. This will support intervention implementation and also scalability. This will involve regular meetings with stakeholders, obtaining consent from parents, and ensuring the availability of necessary resources.

Step 5.3: Information to teachers to roll out intervention:

The last step will be to train the teachers through a training program. Teachers can be role models and will play an active role in rolling out the intervention for adolescents. They motivate adolescents to modify their lifestyle risk factors and serve as key persons for adolescents and their parents and planning group. Periodic evaluation from adolescents will be obtained after implementation. Feedback and perspectives will be collected to understand the feasibility and acceptability of the intervention program.

Step 6: Evaluation

We will plan to evaluate the program at different stages by formative and summative evaluation. A mixed-methods study with a quasi-experimental design will be used to assess the effectiveness of the program on behavioural outcomes and psychosocial determinants. We also have planned to conduct a process evaluation to monitor the quality and fidelity of the program implementation.

RESULTS

A planning group was established to assess needs through qualitative research and literature review. Broad themes were grouped using a logic model (Figure 1).





Table 1: Barrier and facilitators to engaging in healthy behaviours identified in the focus groups. Parrier

| Barrier | Themes/ | Facilitator |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| | determinants | |
| Limited knowledge about behaviour risk factors | Knowledge and | Enthusiastic |
| Lack of content on specific risk behaviour | awareness | teachers |
| Not aware of the consequences | Attitude | Supportive school |
| Perceptions of low susceptibility to health risks or severity of | Individual | management |
| unhealthy behaviours | Determinants | Doing things of |
| Time constraints | Modelling | interest |
| Academic pressure | Experiences | Availability of |
| Peer pressure | Outcome | teachers as role |
| Noncompliance to regular lifestyle factors | expectation | models |
| Influence of friends | Self-efficacy | Peer support |
| Convenience | Influences from | Setting a goal |
| Willpower affecting lifestyle choices | socio- | |
| Tiredness | environmental | |
| Lack of teacher engagement in health promotion efforts | factors | |
| Lack of motivation | | |
| Low expectation | | |
| Fear of consequences | | |
| Self-doubt | | |
| Low self-confidence | | |
| Continuous difficulty | | |
| Availability and accessibility of resources (healthy foods at | | |
| school and home, physical activity facilities, and peer support) | | |
| Lack of content, guidance, and applications. | | |

The literature search results are embedded in introduction. Qualitative research helped identify barriers and feasible methods to enhance self-efficacy activities. Barriers included lack of awareness of NCD behavioral risk factors, noncompliance to dietary practices and physical activities, lack of time, motivation, self-confidence, space for physical activities, and guidance for healthy food choices. Similar barriers were reported in evidence, indicating a need for multisectoral efforts. Specific objectives were set at behavioral level based on a logic model of change (Table 1). The main outcome was to develop a regular behavioral modification for NCD prevention. COs were formulated for each determinant, including personal, social, and environmental factors. For each determinant and CO, a method was chosen, such as "tailoring" for knowledge (Table 2).

| Table 2: Performan | ce objectives and change objectives to promote healthy behaviours |
|--------------------|---|
| Performance | Determinants |

| Objectives | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | Knowledge | Attitude | Experience | Outcome expectation | Modellin g | Self-efficacy/ skills |
| Teachers decides | Elucidate | Acknowledge the | | · | • | Express |
| to get training to | the need for | benefits of | | | | teachers |
| roll out behaviour | teachers | training the | | | | confidence in |
| change | training to | teachers | | | | agreeing to be |
| intervention for | roll out the | | | | | role models and |
| NCD prevention. | intervention | | | | | undergo |
| | | | | | | training to |
| | | | | | | support |
| - | N | | | | | adolescents. |
| feachers request | Narrate now | Acknowledge the | | | | |
| for training kit and | to utilize the | importance of | | | | |
| material hem | and HEM | using training kit | | | | |
| material field | | and HFM | | | | |
| Adolescents utilizes | State how | Acknowledge the | Apply past | Influencing | Motivate | Express |
| health education | the HEM are | emotions | experiences | adolescents | influence | confidence in |
| materials according | utilized by | involved in | in handling | to follow | adolesce | ability to |
| to the teachers | adolescents | learning the HEM | new | healthy | nts by | perform learnt |
| instruction and | | by adolescent in | situations | behaviours. | providin | behaviours |
| performs healthy | | combating NCD | Apply the | Decision | g | |
| behaviour | | risk behaviours | learned | making by | training | |
| | | (Ex: fear) | behaviour | adolescents | through | |
| | | | into real life | to perform | role | |
| | | | situation | learned | models | |
| | | | | healthy | | |
| | | | | behaviours | | _ |
| Adolescents | Narrate now | | Explain now | | | Express |
| complies with | Information | | by providing | | | confidence in |
| learnt provention | anu | | training to | | | nonitivo hoalth |
| learne prevention | utilized by | | through role | | | behaviours |
| | adolescents | | models | | | lifelong |
| | in their day- | | (teachers) | | | inclong. |
| | to-day | | motivates | | | |
| | , activities for | | them. | | | |
| | selection of | | Explain the | | | |
| | | | long term | | | |

| healthy | benefits my | |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| behaviour | following | |
| | role models | |

Information platforms like flipcharts, videos, and posters will be developed and tailored to improve knowledge and awareness (Table 3). Specific classroom and home-based activities will be developed to enhance active learning with the support of role models and peer groups. Posters will be displayed and flipcharts given to teachers as suggested by adolescents during interviews. These platforms and activities are expected to enhance knowledge and lead to lifestyle changes (Table 1).

There is existing evidence highlighting importance of education in improving health knowledge, which is one key determinant to accomplish different change objectives(41– 43). Attitude and self-efficacy are important determinants of behavior change(44-47). Positive attitude is important to promote healthy behavior for any intervention(48-50). Adolescents who have or develop high selfefficacy more likely to set goals for improved healthy behaviors(45,51). Hence improving self-efficacy through knowledge and activities will improve confidence and self-esteem. Evidence has shown improvement in selfefficacy prevents declining healthy behavior(51). The last step will be planned post-implementation. Regular monitoring and evaluation will be carried out in consultation with planning group.

| Determinant | Change objective | Parameters for use | Method | Application |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Knowledge | Describe the need for understanding risk factors of NCD Identify how to choose healthy factors for health | Reinforcing/ tailoring variables to relevance Retention of the information. | Reinforcement/ tailoring Reinforcement | Information tailored to adolescent provided on flip charts/PowerPoint presentation/ poster and Classroom-based activities. |
| | | | | Schematic information with images of different health factors. |
| Attitude | To accept the benefit from SCT based intervention. | Attention and identification with the model. | Role models | Role model or peers narrating about the self- monitoring experience. |
| Experience | Applypastexperiencetohandlenewsituationsorchallengesincurrent role | Outcome and the lessons learned from the past | Observational learning/ motivation | Maintain a reflective journal to record and reflect on past experiences, regular self- evaluation, and feedback sessions. |
| Outcome expectation | Influence behaviour and decision- making based on the expected outcomes | Perceived benefits of the expected outcome | Outcome Simulation | Scenarios of different outcomes are planned. |
| Modelling | Influence behaviour based on observed models | Outcome of model behaviour | Observational learning | Role-play from the successful model |
| Self-efficacy/ skills | Demonstrate on how to perform a behaviour. | Credible source Attention and identification with the model | Verbal persuasion Role models | Video education/ role model influence on how to perform a behaviour |

Table 3: Examples of Social-cognitive theoretical methods and applications used

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to outline the systematic development of an evidence-based SCT intervention component, following the intervention mapping approach. A schoolbased health promotion intervention was developed using the same intervention mapping approach (6,52), grounded on SCT to reach adolescents. This encourage them to perform regular behavioural modification for Noncommunicable disease prevention with self-esteem and self-efficacy. The intervention has key components, which include various display information platforms (such as flipcharts, videos, and posters), a role model group, and a peer support group. This intervention includes a comprehensive package of awareness and knowledge part, classroom-based activities, and home-based activities.

IM is a systematic and SCT-based approach for planning, implementing, and evaluating health promotion interventions(38,53). As a first step, assessment of needs was carried out to identify the required modifications and the population targeted. Next, the development of change objectives, which combine performance objectives (sub-behaviours) with determinants. This included the key constructs of SCT as integral part of development. Subsequently, intervention methods are grounded in the SCT and aligned with the chosen determinants. These methods are then translated into strategies or applications that meet the effectiveness parameters of the chosen methods. Evidence exists on incorporation of strategies as part of classroom activities for adolescents is beneficial (54,55). These strategies are subsequently integrated into a structured program. Final steps include planning intervention adoption, implementation and evaluation in the school context. Evaluation will help in understanding the overall process and acceptability of intervention.

The strengths of this study is the use of intervention mapping in a systematic approach that ensures evidence-based, tailored

interventions. It also enhances collaboration among research groups and stakeholders. Another strength is application of SCT which provides useful key constructs for designing interventions.

LIMITATION

The study has a few limitations. The developed intervention was not empirically tested, so its effectiveness is unknown. Future studies should conduct trials to assess its feasibility and efficacy. Another one is cultural and contextual differences may limit the intervention's applicability to adolescents in other regions. Thus, it may need adaptation for different contexts.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of using IM approach to develop a school-based health promotion intervention for NCD prevention in adolescents. The intervention, based on SCT aims to improve the adolescents' NCD risk behaviours, knowledge, attitude, and self-efficacy. The implementation plan will be planned by the research group and schoolteachers. This intervention can be integrated into the existing school system, adapted, and scaled up, contributing to SDG efforts to reduce the NCD burden and promote adolescent health and well-being.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

All authors have contributed equally.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSORSHIP Nil

CONFLICT OF INTEREST There are no conflicts of interest.

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

While preparing this work, we utilized Grammarly tools to correct grammatical errors and punctuation. After using the tool, we carefully reviewed and edited the content as necessary, assuming full responsibility for the publication's content.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank selected school authorities and students for sharing their views that helped in intervention development.

REFERENCES

- Jarosz E. Lifestyle behaviours or socioeconomic characteristics? Gender differences in covariates of BMI in Hungary. Obes Sci Pract. 2018;4(6):591–9.
- Oftedal S, Vandelanotte C, Duncan MJ. Patterns of diet, physical activity, sitting and sleep are associated with socio-demographic, behavioural, and health-risk indicators in adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(13):1–14.
- Frech A. Healthy behavior trajectories between adolescence and young adulthood. Adv Life Course Res. 2012;17(2):59–68.
- Law BMF, Siu AMH, Shek DTL. Recognition for positive behavior as a critical youth development construct: Conceptual bases and implications on youth service development. Sci World J. 2012;2012.
- WHO. Noncommunicable diseases [Internet]. World Health organization. 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 30]. Available from: https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicablediseases
- Bay JL, Hipkins R, Siddiqi K, Huque R, Dixon R, Shirley D, et al. School-based primary NCD risk reduction: Education and public health perspectives. Health Promot Int. 2017;32(2):369–79.
- Akseer N, Mehta S, Wigle J, Chera R, Brickman ZJ, Al-Gashm S, et al. Non-communicable diseases among adolescents: current status, determinants, interventions and policies. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Mar 26];20(1). Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC7734741/
- Biswas T, Townsend N, Huda MM, Maravilla J, Begum T, Pervin S, et al. Prevalence of multiple noncommunicable diseases risk factors among adolescents in 140 countries: A population-based study. eClinicalMedicine [Internet]. 2022;52(August):101591. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101591
- Gore FM, Bloem PJN, Patton GC, Ferguson J, Joseph V, Coffey C, et al. Global burden of disease in young people aged 10-24 years: A systematic analysis. Lancet. 2011;377(9783):2093–102.
- Akseer N, Mehta S, Wigle J, Chera R, Brickman ZJ, Al-Gashm S, et al. Non-communicable diseases among adolescents: current status, determinants, interventions and policies. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Jan 30];20(1):1–20. Available from: https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/article s/10.1186/s12889-020-09988-5
- 11. Darukaradhya TB. An alarming non-communicable diseases burden : time for adolescent health

investment. Int J Community Med Public Heal [Internet]. 2024;11(1):590–3. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20234161

- WHO. Adolescent and young adult health [Internet]. World Health organization. 2021 [cited 2022 Jul 14]. Available from: https://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/adolescents-health-risksand-solutions
- Tonkin RS. Early intervention with an adolescent twist. Paediatr Child Health (Oxford). 2001;6(7):424–8.
- Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. Lancet [Internet]. 2016;387(10036):2423–78. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246309
- Borle AL, Gangadharan N, Basu S. Lifestyle practices predisposing adolescents to non communicable diseases in Delhi. Dialogues Heal [Internet]. 2022;1(October):100064. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dialog.2022.100064
- Hashemian M, Abdolkarimi M, Asadollahi Z, Nasirzadeh M. Effect of "social cognitive theory"based intervention on promoting physical activity in female high-school students of rafsanjan city, iran. J Educ Community Heal. 2021;8(2):111–9.
- Young MD, Plotnikoff RC, Collins CE, Callister R, Morgan PJ. Social cognitive theory and physical activity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2014;15(12):983–95.
- Shuremu M, Abate KH, Belachew T. Effect of nutrition education intervention to improve dietary diversity practice and nutritional status of the older people: A cluster randomized controlled trial. Food Sci Nutr. 2023;11(11):7383–95.
- Young MD, Plotnikoff RC, Collins CE, Callister R, Morgan PJ. A test of social cognitive theory to explain men's physical activity during a gendertailored weight loss program. Am J Mens Health. 2016;10(6):N176–87.
- Maafs-Rodríguez A, Folta SC. Effectiveness of Theory-Based Physical Activity and Nutrition Interventions in Aging Latino Adults: A Scoping Review. Nutrients. 2023;15(12).
- Zheng P, Guo F, Chen Y, Fu Y, Ye T, Fu H. A randomized controlled trial of group intervention based on social cognitive theory for smoking cessation in China. J Epidemiol [Internet]. 2007;17(5):147–55. Available from: 10.2188/jea.17.147
- 22. Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA, Adamson AJ, Mathers JC. Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from childhood to adulthood: A systematic review. Maturitas [Internet]. 2011;70(3):266–84. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.08.005

 Telzer EH. Dopaminergic reward sensitivity can promote adolescent health: A new perspective on the mechanism of ventral striatum activation. Dev Cogn Neurosci [Internet]. 2016;17:57–67. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.10.010

- Aubert S. An Overview of Scientific-Based Knowledge on Sedentary Behaviour Among the Pediatric Population - A Conceptual Model Development. Rev Interdiscip des Sci la santé -Interdiscip J Heal Sci. 2018;7(1):16–26.
- 25. Heath G, Cooke R, Cameron E. A theory-based approach for developing interventions to change patient behaviours: A medication adherence example from paediatric secondary care. Healthc. 2015;3(4):1228–42.
- Miller AL, Lo SL, Bauer KW, Fredericks EM. Developmentally informed behaviour change techniques to enhance self-regulation in a health promotion context: a conceptual review. Health Psychol Rev. 2020;14(1):116–31.
- 27. Steinberg L. A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. Dev Rev. 2008;28(1):78–106.
- Kok G. A practical guide to effective behavior change: How to apply theory- and evidence-based behavior change methods in an intervention. Eur Heal Psychol [Internet]. 2014;16(5):156–70. Available from: https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/r78wh
- Luszczynska A, Schwarzer R. Changing Behavior Using Social Cognitive Theory. The Handbook of Behavior Change. 2020. 32–45 p.
- Glanz K, Bishop DB. The role of behavioral science theory in development and implementation of public health interventions. Annu Rev Public Health. 2010;31:399–418.
- Islam KF, Awal A, Mazumder H, Munni UR, Majumder K, Afroz K, et al. Social cognitive theorybased health promotion in primary care practice: A scoping review. Heliyon [Internet]. 2023;9(4):e14889. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14889
- 32. Shamizadeh T, Jahangiry L, Sarbakhsh P, Ponnet K. Social cognitive theory-based intervention to promote physical activity among prediabetic rural people: A cluster randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2019 Feb 4;20(1).
- Naami Nazari L, Reisi M, Tahmasebi R, Javadzade H. The effect of web-based educational intervention on physical activity-related energy expenditure among middle-aged women with overweight and obesity: An application of social cognitive theory. Obes Med [Internet]. 2020;18:100181. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obmed.2020.100181
- Ince ML. Use of a social cognitive theory-based physical-activity intervention on health-promoting behaviors of university students. Percept Mot Skills. 2008;107(3):833–6.
- Stacey FG, James EL, Chapman K, Courneya KS, Lubans DR. A systematic review and meta-analysis of social cognitive theory-based physical activity and/or nutrition behavior change interventions for cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv. 2015;9(2):305–38.
- Bagherniya M, Sharma M, Mostafavi Darani F, Maracy MR, Safarian M, Allipour Birgani R, et al. School-Based Nutrition Education Intervention Using Social Cognitive Theory for Overweight and Obese Iranian Adolescent Girls: A Cluster

Randomized Controlled Trial. Int Q Community Health Educ. 2017;38(1).

- Bagherniya M, Taghipour A, Sharma M, Sahebkar A, Contento IR, Keshavarz SA, et al. Obesity intervention programs among adolescents using social cognitive theory: A systematic literature review. Health Educ Res. 2018;33(1):26–39.
- Fernandez ME, Ruiter RAC, Markham CM, Kok G. Intervention mapping: Theory-and evidence-based health promotion program planning: Perspective and examples. Front Public Heal. 2019;7(AUG).
- WONG WF, LUK AL. A Randomized Control Study on the Effectiveness of Holistic Health Practice Program on a Group of Baccalaureate Nursing Students. Open Nurs J. 2020;14(1):56–63.
- Tirlea L, Truby H, Haines TP. Pragmatic, Randomized Controlled Trials of the Girls on the Go! Program to Improve Self-Esteem in Girls. Am J Heal Promot. 2016;30(4):231–41.
- The Lancet Public Health. Education: a neglected social determinant of health. Lancet Public Heal. 2020;5(7):e361.
- Raghupathi V, Raghupathi W. The influence of education on health: An empirical assessment of OECD countries for the period 1995-2015. Arch Public Heal. 2020;78(1):1–18.
- Mursal A, Dong W. Education as a key determinant of health: A case study from rural Anhui, China. J Heal Soc Sci [Internet]. 2018;3(March 2018):59–74. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334285 541
- Bandura A. On the functional properties of perceived self-efficacy revisited. J Manage. 2012;38(1):9–44.
- McAuley E, Blissmer B. Self-efficacy determinants and consequences of physical activity. Exerc Sport Sci Rev [Internet]. 2000;28(2):85–8. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/124174 18 Self-Efficacy
- 46. Yuker HE. Attitudes as determinants of behavior. [Internet]. Vol. 31, Journal of rehabilitation. 1965. p. 15–6. Available from: https://www.proquest.com/openview/9040e47386 c84cf9355fca0d1769483c/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=1819158
- Lippke S. Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences. Encycl Personal Individ Differ. 2016;1– 6.
- Malinowska-Cieślik M, Mazur J, Nałęcz H, Małkowska-Szkutnik A. Social and behavioral predictors of adolescents' positive attitude towards life and self. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(22).
- Hamzah SR, Suandi T, Ismail M, Muda Z. Association of the personal factors of culture, attitude and motivation with health behavior among adolescents in Malaysia. Int J Adolesc Youth [Internet]. 2019;24(2):149–59. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2018.1482772
- 50. Sramova B. Identity, Social Support and Attitudes towards Health with Adolescents. New Trends

Technol [Internet]. 2010;34(8):57–67. Available from: https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/9108

- Dishman RK, McIver KL, Dowda M, Saunders RP, Pate RR. Self-efficacy, beliefs, and goals: Moderation of declining physical activity during adolescence. Heal Psychol. 2019;38(6):483–93.
- 52. Fernandez, M.E., Ruiter, R.A., Markham, C.M. and Kok G. Theory- and Evidence-Based Health Promotion Program Planning; Intervention Mapping [Internet]. Vol. 7, Frontiers in public health. 2021. 209 p. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/researchtopics/5434/theory--and-evidence-based-healthpromotion-program-planning-interventionmapping
- 53. Kok G, Peters LWH, Ruiter RAC. Planning theory- and evidence-based behavior change interventions: A conceptual review of the intervention mapping protocol. Psicol Reflex e Crit. 2017;30(1).
- 54. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Strategies for Classroom Physical Activity in Schools. Centers Dis Control Prev [Internet]. 2018;(November):1–25. Available from: http://search.proquest.com.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca /docview/2228670491?accountid=14906%0Ahttps: //oculwtl.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/discovery/openurl?ins titution=010CUL WTL&vid=010CUL WTL:WTL DE

titution=01OCUL_WTL&vid=01OCUL_WTL:WTL_DE FAULT&?url_ver=Z39.88-

2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:book&

55. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Classroom Physical Activity | Physical Activity | Healthy Schools | CDC [Internet]. CDC. 2018 [cited 2023 Dec 12]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyschools/physicalactivi ty/classroom-pa.htm